From the Jewish Question to Zio-Fascism

A Materialist and Historical Examination of the Palestinian Question

Hassan Maarfefipour

Translated Translated from Persian

Sch'mah Israel!

A poem by Erich Fried

When we were oppressed I was one of you.
How can I remain with you when you become oppressors?

Your longing was to be like the other nations that murdered you.

Now you have become like them.

You outlived those whose cruelty you suffered. Does not their cruelty live on in you?

You told the defeated:
"Take off your shoes!"
then like the scapegoat
you drove them into the desert.

Into the vast mosque of Death whose sandals are of sand.
But they did not bear the sin you tried to lay upon them.

The imprint of naked feet in the desert sand will outlast all traces of your bombs and your tanks.

Introduction

In this article, an attempt is made to examine recent issues and the war between the fascist regime of Israel and the Islamic fascist faction Hamas from a Marxist perspective. As a communist defender of the oppressed, I aim to advocate for the liberation of the Palestinian people from the clutches of Islamic and Zionist fascism, as well as other imperialist alternatives within the framework of realpolitik. It should be noted that the recent conflict, which began on October 7, 2023, in response to Hamas's crimes (including an attack on October 5, where they targeted a civilian party and arrested and executed non-combatants), is one of the wars that has seen Zionist fascism reunite amidst the corruption of Netanyahu. Widespread racial hatred against Palestinians exists in Israeli society, and there has been a convergence of governments and realpolitik parties from both the left and right, along with fascist elements in European parliaments.

These circumstances have paved the way for the establishment of an absolute military government in Israel and the criminalization of political activities associated with the Palestinian people in ostensibly democratic Western countries. Consistently, across multiple instances (in 2008, 2012, 2014, 2021, 2022, and this recent conflict in October 2023), the fascist Hamas movement has provided a pretext for the occupying Israeli regime to bombard the people of Gaza. The primary victims of these airstrikes are not the children of Hamas leaders residing in comfortable Western locations; instead, they are workers, laborers, children, and elderly individuals who have been ensnared by Zionist fascism and Islamic barbarism and fascism within the world's largest open-air prison—the Gaza Strip.

In this regard, it is necessary to mention that as a communist fighter who is defending the right to self-determination which is a fundamental principle of international law and applies to the fate of the Palestinian people (meaning they need to determin thier fate) and an opponent of Islamic and Zionist fascism, I consider any defense of Israel by anyone or any force as a complicity and a crime beyond any crime. My stance is similar and applies to any alignment with Hamas, which I view it as a microscopic fascist entity. The only difference between the fascist and imperialist occupier regime of Israel and Hamas movement lies in the scale and dimensions of the atrocities committed.

If Hitler's Nazi regime turned the world into hell for humanity during its 12-year reign, the fascist Israeli regime has systematically perpetrated mass killings of Palestinians since May 14, 1938—the day of Nakba. They forcibly displace Palestinians from their land and, if they resist, subject them to death and bombardment. It is interesting that a group of Europeans, known as left-liberals or 'vegans' (romantic cannibals), make statements such as: 'Israeli soldiers wear vegan attire' or 'There is no execution in Israel.' to absolve their conscience from a fascist torment: a torment inflicted upon six million Palestinians who have been displaced by the occupier Israeli regime and thousands of children incinerated by this occupier in the flames of war.

From a Western perspective, it seems that killing a human is only considered inhumane when it happens up close—when someone's throat is slit with a knife or they are executed and hanged. However, when humans are collectively executed through bombings, the "humanitarian" sensibilities of European killers remain untouched. It is not without reason that Frantz Fanon stated that those who have spoken most about humanity have killed more humans than anyone else.

This article briefly examines the Jewish question and the recent developments. While part of the content was written during the opening of the U.S. embassy in Jerusalem under Trump, I have omitted repeating old statements.

I dedicate this piece to all those who stand against fascism—whether European, Zionist, or Islamic—and refuse to remain silent in the face of oppression and tyranny, even if it means risking their jobs and things like that.

A Brief investigation of the Jewish Question and Zionism

The issue of Judaism spans over two thousand years of history and has been primarily associated with Christians; the biggest factor in the Jewish question itself has been and still is Christians. The term "Jewish question" refers to a longstanding and systematic prejudice against Jews, which Friedrich Engels attributed to feudal culture. However, the matter extends beyond this. A comprehensive historical analysis of the Jewish question requires further exploration, a task that is beyond the scope of this current discussion. Therefore, I briefly touch upon some aspects, leaving more in-depth research to readers, enthusiasts, scholars, and historians who are better equipped for this endeavor.

The systematic practice of ghettoizing Jews can be traced back to the mercantilist era in cities like Rome and Venice. In these cities, Christians not only prohibited any interaction or trade with Jews but also forbade their entry into public places. Consequently, Jews turned to occupations such as commerce, which were highly risky during that period. The then reactionary Christians, who considered handling money as impure, labeled Jews as unclean due to their involvement in financial matters, fueling further anti-Jewish sentiments. As modernization spread and Christians sought entry into the capitalist sphere (defended by figures like Martin Luther and John Calvin), competition arose in Europe. Simultaneously, systematic anti-Semitism intensified, particularly through the works of Luther, leading to the formation of Lutheranism and Calvinism.

"The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism" by Max Weber explores the development of capitalism from a bourgeois perspective. Western philosophy often holds the mistaken belief that Martin Luther spearheaded a revolution against the absolute power of the Catholic Church. Consequently, Lutheranism is viewed as an internal revolution rather than an external one. This simplistic view even influenced Hegel, the leading philosopher of his era.

However, Karl Marx was among the first to reject this simplistic and superstitious notion in his critique of Hegel's philosophy of right. Marx instead argued that Luther effectively transformed

average Christian citizens into clergy. In his work "On the Jewish Question," Marx addressed Bruno Bauer, who called for Jews to convert to Christianity and adopt German citizenship in pursuit of freedom. Marx challenged this view, questioning whether German Christians had truly found liberation within Germany, or whether Americans had achieved freedom despite the formal secular laws in place since 1750.

In his essay "The Stranger," Georg Simmel, a prominent German sociologist of Jewish descent, laid the groundwork for a theory that continues to influence sociological thought today. Simmel focused on the concept of the stranger, someone who occupies a unique position within a society. [They are neither fully insiders nor complete outsiders, but rather stand somewhere in between. This marginality, Simmel argued, can be a source of both alienation and objectivity. While Simmel's work doesn't explicitly mention ghettos, it can be applied to understand the experiences of marginalized groups, including those facing social separation.] In his work, he delved into the issue of ghettos, the separation of individuals, and the power dynamics related to being an outsider and not engaging in exchange with strangers (in this case, Jews).

Jacob Taut, in his book "The Jewish Question and Zionism," delves into a materialist examination of the Jewish question. His work stands unparalleled among all the books written on this topic. Taut, while exploring the material roots of antisemitism, demonstrates that over time, the Jewish question has become a tool in the hands of the most entrenched anti-Jewish forces worldwide. This serves their purpose of safeguarding their interests in the Middle East and utilizing Israel's intelligence network and military might to maintain hegemony and advance the interests of Western imperialist powers. Taut reveals that during the peak of anti-Jewish sentiment between 1790 and 1848, the conversion of many Jews to Christianity not only failed to diminish European antisemitism but actually intensified it, taking on a more lethal form. Eventually, in the early 20th century, anti-Jewish sentiment expanded within fascist and Nazi movements, culminating in the call for the "final solution to the Jewish Question" through the Holocaust. Hitler, who initially labeled the extermination of Jews as a means to eliminate "allies" of Bolsheviks and defenders of communist conspiracy, later shifted to exploiting them for labor-intensive tasks to create absolute surplus value after annihilating 74.3% of Europe's Jewish population.

In the later years of the Nazi regime, a regime that had plunged the entire world into a bizarre terror for 12 years and would undoubtedly have annihilated the Earth if not defeated by the Red Army, their actions aligned with the concept of 'rationalization.' Rationalization meant making the production process logical and increasing absolute value by transforming relative surplus value into absolute surplus value. Alfred Sohn-Rethel explored this topic in his excellent book 'Economy and Class Structure of German Fascism,' backed by empirical research.

It's not without reason that Bertolt Brecht referred to fascism as the 'true face of capitalism.' Fascism is nothing but the logical and rationalized process of production aimed at maximizing surplus value through a combination of modernization and barbarism. In fascism, we witness the creation of absolute surplus value by extending working hours to the brink of laborers' death, alongside a continuous modernization to enhance the productivity burden. Fascism, as conceived

by Hitler before its downfall at the hands of the Red Army, did not require the mass extermination of Jews. For fascism, they were an entirely free workforce, toiling for 16 hours a day over a bowl of soup. Thus, it was necessary to curtail and restrict the slaughter of Jews and other prisoners in order to advance the increase in surplus value.

Regarding Zionism as a right-wing, conservative, and nationalist movement close to fascism, it's essential to note that although Moses Hess, one of the representatives of feudal socialist Germany and early Zionism, discussed the idea of connecting Athens to Jerusalem and the formation of a Jewish state in his book 'Rome and Jerusalem' in 1862, or when Leon Pinsker talked about emancipation through autonomy, the primary concept traces back to modern Zionist representatives in the early 20th century. Figures like Theodor Herzl, who occasionally drew from socialist-Zionist ideas, played a significant role. In his book 'The Jewish State' (1896), Theodor Herzl first proposed the establishment of a state based on 'Jewish nationality.

It is also necessary to mention that Herzl's Zionism was fundamentally different from romantic and utopian socialism. Herzl's Zionism was based on preserving the past and was an extreme, power-oriented, and secular form of nationalism. As the Zionist biographer Alex Bein writes, Herzl had no familiarity with Jewish teachings. He was a stateless statesman who sought to establish a Zionist state, and for this purpose, he needed to construct an ideology based on myth and legend, or in other words, modernize the ancient mythos about Jews and the people of Israel. It is here that even the most secular among secularists turn to the most mythical and legendary texts to justify their fascist and nationalist ideologies, in order to rally people to their cause.

So, it is necessary to mention that the issue of Jews, as an anti-Semitic sentiment in Christianity and sometimes in Islam in the past, needs to be separated from modern fascist anti-Semitism. On the other hand, Jews, who were composed of different groups and classes, should never be viewed uniformly. The Jewish labor movement in the early 20th century, unlike Zionism, was influenced by the Bolsheviks and communist tradition. Many Jews did not even consider themselves Jewish; it was fascism that made them Jewish. It's not without reason that Hannah Arendt said that when she was arrested for being Jewish, she realized how fascism could turn someone who grew up in a secular family and had never been told anything about religious affiliation or being Jewish into 'the other' and a Jew.

In the context of Judaism, as a religion or nationality, Kautsky has a book that is the most comprehensive work on religious examination, which intertwines ethnicity, nationality, and race. Kautsky considers Jews as different nationalities associated with one religion, and he deems it incorrect to lump all Jews under the label of a single nation. Extremist and reactionary Jews, similar to Islamic and Christian fundamentalists, are very interested in categorizing all Jews as part of the Jewish nation.

The Zionist movement, precisely as an ultra-right, conservative, pro-capitalist, and imperialist force akin to fascism, (also very similar to Islamist Fascism) has been and continues to be a product of imperialist realpolitik. It aims to forge a unified identity for Jews from diverse

nationalities within the framework of a Zionist state. After the imperialist war known as 'World War I', the Ottoman Empire, and the Sykes-Picot Agreement (or the Asia Minor Agreement) – [which was a secret pact between the United Kingdom and France, with the approval of Russia, during World War I] –, various regions were divided in a mosaic-like manner, disregarding national and cultural issues, resulting in artificial 'state-nations.' Some areas, like Palestine, remained without a government and were divided as colonial territories between Britain and France.

On November 2, 1917, Lord Balfour, the Prime Minister of Britain, issued a letter to Lord Rothschild, promising the establishment of a Jewish nation in Palestine. Despite the fact that 91% of the population at that time were Arabs and 97% of the land belonged to them, the final formation of the State of Israel resurfaced during Hitler's fascist era. A Zionist committee in Paris, which included Hannah Arendt, was responsible for transferring Jewish refugees to Palestine. However, after the fall of Hitler's fascism, Western nations, which initially had no qualms about the mass killing of Jews and were complicit in deporting and handing them over to Hitler, suddenly became staunch defenders of the formation of the Zionist state. Interestingly, these same Western countries now claim to champion an 'anti-fascist' policy in West Germany, where their artificially created parliament had more cabinet members who were Nazis than Hitler's government in 1933.

It was in this manner that imperialism and liberalism, which had been complicit in the systematic Jewish genocide by the Nazis, subsequently gave rise to a post-fascist state. This state appeared 'democratic' on the surface, but its core remained deeply entrenched in fascist and Nazi ideologies. The post-fascist regime, spanning from the 1950s to the present day, has accommodated Nazi perpetrators of Jewish extermination within sensitive and crucial government institutions. These institutions have imposed occupational bans on communists and defended underground Nazi terrorist networks like the NSU (National Socialist Underground). The NSU, seemingly democratic, operated within the police, military, judiciary, and intelligence organizations in Germany, all while maintaining a hidden fascist agenda.

The Jewish Question or The Establishment of An International Military Base Under The Pretext of Defending Jews

In the early 20th century, a conspiracy theory emerged, propagated by conservative Zionist theorists in Britain and evangelical Christians in America. This theory revolved around the myth of the "Jewish people." For the first time in history, spurred by false mythical legends and driven by imperialist and colonial motives, the question of the existence of a "Jewish people" in Jerusalem was raised, claiming they had been there for thousands of years. This narrative extended beyond the Jewish diaspora, focusing on their return to the place of origin. As a result, within a broader context, the U.S. government and conservative Christian factions vigorously defended the establishment of the state of Israel, citing written references equating Judaism with the return to the Messiah.

Simultaneously with this issue, we witness the migration of Jews from all over the world to Palestine, seeking to return to their "ancestral land." Following the rise of fascism in Germany and the brutal suppression of Jews, efforts were made to advance global imperialist policies in the Middle East. Hundreds of thousands of Jews, escaping the clutches of the fascist plague, entered Palestine through France and other countries. Seventy years ago, the occupying state of Israel took shape. This state was a combination of various nationalities, all adhering to the inhumane and oppressive ideology of Zionism. If we assume that the myth-making about the Jewish question and the presence of Jews in Jerusalem three thousand years ago is accurate, still under no circumstances should we justify the imperialist and fascist madness of the occupying Israeli government. Those who justify Israel's brutal and terrorist actions against defenseless Palestinian people based on these fallacies are either benefiting from the implementation of imperialist policies in the Middle East or are devoid of any sense of reason and rationality.

The issue of establishing a religious state based on the Jewish faith is as justifiable and defensible from a rational perspective as the formation of an Islamic state in Iraq and Syria. Another matter to consider is that if proponents of Zionist occupiers' policies find the return of Jews to the place from which they came legitimate, and if they defend the heavily armed Israeli government on the grounds that Jews were expelled from there thousands of years ago, then why don't they advocate for the return of "Aryan" people to Europe and the establishment of an Aryan state?

If the occupation of Palestine by Zionist fascism is legitimate, then the establishment of an Aryan state by fascists expelled from Europe should also be supported by Western nations and, in general, by forces sympathetic to the occupation of Palestine. However, relying on mythical fallacies, irrational sentiments, and fabricated history, imperialism cannot justify its barbaric policies.

The Israeli government is essentially nothing more than a multinational, financial-capitalist state aligned with Western imperialism. It serves as a military outpost for advancing NATO's military, political, and hegemonic interests. This state is the US military base in the Middle East in the region, a Middle East that was never fully colonized by Western imperialism due to the geopolitical conditions of the region.

Following the establishment of the Israeli government, a war between Palestine and Israel began—a conflict that remains fiery even after fifty years, with Palestinian civilians falling victim every day. The most significant war during this period was the Six-Day War, which took place from June 5th to June 10th, 1967. In this war, the Arab coalition faced defeat against Israel, allowing Israel to occupy parts of Jordan. More than ever, this war highlighted the reactionary nature of Arab nationalism and its clash with the interests of the working masses in the Arab world.

The Soviet Union, which for years employed these cartoonish "alternatives" to address national issues and promote non-capitalist development, also revealed its reactionary nature. Many different forces within the global anti-imperialist movement realized that the solution to national

issues and the fight against imperialism did not lie through alignment with the former Soviet Union; and the repetition of Stalinist fallacies was not about defending communism or liberating nations from oppression; rather, it could effectively promote national servitude under the guise of national liberation.

It is worth noting that between the Soviet camp and Jamal Abdel Nasser, the leader of the "Arab world," as well as other nationalist forces, including Marshal Tito and others, there were differences in addressing national issues. However, these differences were not fundamental and did not align strictly with socialist or bourgeois solutions. The Soviet Union and Nasser, along with other reformist left-wing forces and the entire spectrum of left-wing nationalism, were entangled in the complexities of realpolitik and the bipolar world. They viewed the world through the lens of the bourgeoise.

Marx wrote his famous pamphlet "On the Jewish Question" while he was in the city of Braunschweig, Germany, after he had broken away from the Young Hegelians and Bruno Bauer and began to criticize them. [The pamphlet was a response to the writings of Bruno Bauer, a Young Hegelian philosopher who had argued that the Jewish people could only achieve emancipation by abandoning their religion. Marx argued that Bauer's solution was inadequate, and that the only way to truly emancipate the Jews was to overthrow the capitalist system]. He sent it to Deutsch–Französische Jahrbücher (German–French Annals) which was a radical annual at the time. With a fiery critique of Bruno Bauer's bourgeois ideas, he introduced and presented to society a socialist solution to the problem of minorities and the oppressed of society, including the Jews, who were at that time under severe pressure and repression.

In this pamphlet, Marx, in addition to criticizing Bauer's theories, also criticized the false and hypocritical human rights of the bourgeoisie and declared his own understanding of human rights. He wrote that Bauer is trapped in an insoluble contradiction. On the one hand, he has issued a call for Jews to abandon their Jewishness and be absorbed into the Christian religious government and become citizens as Christians and participate in politics so that they will no longer be discriminated against. On the other hand, Christians themselves in Germany at that time were not free. [Marx argued that Bauer's solution to the Jewish question was based on a false understanding of human rights. He argued that human rights are not universal, but are instead determined by the material conditions of society. In a capitalist society, human rights are only available to those who own property. This means that the Jewish people, who were largely excluded from the ownership of property, could not truly enjoy human rights].

Marx asks Bauer whether Christians in Germany are free as citizens who participate in politics. The answer was undoubtedly negative. Marx gives him the example of America, where a secular system had been in place for nearly a hundred years, but he considered the American people to be among the most religious in the world. By raising this issue, Marx completely questions Bauer's bourgeois secularism and shows that Bauer and Feuerbach's mere secularism, atheism, and materialism can in no way promise the liberation of oppressed people and minorities. [Marx argues that Bauer's understanding of secularism is inadequate. He argues that secularism is not

simply a matter of separating church and state. It is also a matter of transforming the material conditions of society that give rise to religious oppression; he points to the example of America as evidence of the limitations of Bauer's secularism. America is a secular country, but it is also a country where religious oppression is widespread. This is because the material conditions of society in America, such as the capitalist system, give rise to religious oppression].

If we compare the context in which Marx theoretically wrote about solving the Jewish question in Germany with the prevailing conditions in Israel, we will realize that the fascist and terrorist Israeli's proposed solutions for the Palestinian issue are far more repressive than the bourgeois and secularist approaches advocated by Mr. Bruno Bauer. The Israel government's policies over the past seventy years have not only aimed at but also practically resulted in the eradication of any non-Israeli & non-Zionist identity. These policies can be described as ethnic cleansing, assimilation, brutality, and fascist subjugation. A policy that echoes the methods employed by Hitler against Jews, albeit on a smaller scale, when it comes to Arabs and Palestinians.

In his treatise "On the Jewish Question," Marx concludes that Jewish emancipation means emancipation from being Jewish. However, the emancipation of Palestinian Arabs is by no means emancipation from being Arab. As long as Zionist fascism pursues imperialist policies and assimilation for ethnic cleansing in the region, and as long as Zionism persists, the people of Palestine cannot set aside their Palestinian identity or deny it. The issue of identity will only lose its meaning and significance when all identities—national, ethnic, gender, class, and indeed all identities globally—fade into oblivion, leaving behind the remnants of these identities forever. As long as fascism exists, so does the anti-fascist movement. And as long as Israel advances its policy of assimilation and fascism, resistance against it remains essential for Arabs, Palestinians, humans, workers, and all humanity oppressed by tyranny. If Zionists and Jews were to abandon their identities and overthrow the fascist state of Israel, accepting Palestinians as their united comrades and first-class citizens, then I would be the first to advocate for the annihilation of Arab and Palestinian identity.

The boundary between being a fascist and not being one lies in defending or not defending the people of Palestine

The crocodile tears shed by Islamic fascists in Iran, Lebanon, and Gaza, as well as the crimes committed by Hamas and other Islamic fascist groups, should not blind freedom-loving individuals to the oppressive and occupying Zionist fascist regime of Israel. Justifying the atrocities committed by this regime over the past seventy years and the repeated massacres of non-combatants in Gaza is unacceptable. The fascist and apartheid occupier regime must return the homes and hearths of the Palestinians, and just get their ominous face lost from that land. Anyone who defends the blatant violation of Palestinian rights and the encroachment upon their land, regardless of the pretext, cannot claim to stand for the liberation of women and oppressed nations.

Amidst the fascist cruelty of the Zionist fascist regime and the seven-decade struggle of oppressed Palestinians for liberation from occupation and imprisonment by the so-called "Palestinian soldiers," I stand alongside the Palestinians. Islamic fascist organizations, branches of the Muslim Brotherhood, and allies of the Islamic Republic were created in the 1980s and 1990s to promote Islamization and a regionally oriented reconciliation with Islam, as well as to suppress communists in Palestine. Israel supported these armed Hamas forces with weapons, equipment, and intelligence against the active communist forces in Intifada, aiming to demonstrate that it played no direct role in the assassination of communists residing in Palestine.

The Zionist fascist regime, in terms of ideology and worldview, and its treatment of human freedom, communists, and political opponents, resembles the behavior of its fraternal twin, Hamas. In my view, Hamas is not the stepbrother of this fascist-executioner Netanyahu, but rather his twin brother. When you (this regime) go into frenzy and is about to pop a blood vessel when you see an Islamic-barbaric-fascist Hamas member killing and stripping a woman, but remain silent seeing the slaughter of hundreds of Palestinian civilians within hours, you precisely show that you too are fascists.

Islamic Fascists and Zionist Fascists in this region, whenever their legitimacy is threatened, either initiate a war of attrition by launching 'missile' attacks (missiles produced by Hamas and the Islamic Republic of Iran) toward occupied cities and towns under Israeli control, or they begin attacks such as Hamas targeting parties and Zionist celebrations. These very attacks serve as a pretext for the far-right extremist and racist rulers and occupiers in Israel to impose a full-scale war on the people of Gaza.

Gaza is similar to the largest and most densely populated open-air prison in the world. These attacks, regardless of their origin, constitute a conspiracy against the Palestinian people, benefiting both Islamic and Zionist far-right extremist and fascist factions. Through the killing of civilians in Gaza, these extremist Zionist and Islamic factions attempt to portray themselves as heroes, temporarily redeeming their tarnished credibility. This strategy and tactic serve the longevity of both ultra-fascist right-wing Islamic and racist Zionist movements. It is during such times that an ultra-fascist slaveholding and communist killer faction, such as Hamas and Hezbollah, positions itself as the 'resistance force,' while legitimate resistance against the racist fascist Zionist regime's exploitation and colonization fades into obscurity. Simultaneously, a corrupt criminal like Netanyahu seize the opportunity to restore their credibility, tarnished by repeated corruption scandals, and pave the way for a continuous and naked 'military government.' Mussolini and Carl Schmitt referred to such a "permanent military government" as fascism.

It is necessary to inform those who perpetrate the massacre of non-combatants in the occupied Palestinian territories, where the name "Israel" has been forcibly imposed, and who imprison Palestinian Arabs in fascist and racist ways, that Israel is the most militaristic country in the world. Even kindergartens and schools in Israel are militaristic. I have many comrades from Palestine who escaped the country using an Israeli passport and now fight against this occupying fascist

Israeli regime; these comrades recount how even teachers come to Israeli schools wearing military gears.

Israel is a nation with the most **racist and discriminatory laws**. One could describe Israel as a form of **fascist rule**, which has cleverly maintained the facade of democracy while its essence remains fascist. The crimes committed by the Israel regime against the residents of Palestine over the past century are thousands of times more heinous than the desecration of a corpse by the Islamic fascist thugs affiliated with Hamas. This clandestine microbial faction emerged due to the systematic weakening of the PLO, left-wing parties, and resistance movements, all aided by Israel to crush the Intifada and resistance.

Hamas (Islamic fascism) and Israel (Zionist fascism) only disagree on form and the extent of their crimes. The liberation of the people of Palestine is impossible without dismantling Hamas and eradicating Zionist fascism entirely. Hamas and all Islamic fascist factions must be thrown into the graveyard of history by the toiling masses and laborers of Palestine; a socialist revolution is necessary in the Middle East to throw this Zionist fascist germ into the dustbin of history once and for all and rebuild the country of Palestine from scratch.

It's noteworthy that the racist and fascist Alternative for Germany party (AfD), which is a survivor of Hitler Nazi regime, have expressed solidarity with Israel. This confirms what Yeshay Landa asserted: "liberalism is the true origin of fascism. This reactionary, ostentatious government, known as the "Traffic Light Coalition" - [the current governing coalition in Germany, the Social Democratic Party (SPD), the Green Party, and the Free Democratic Party (FDP)] - , supports the monarchist fascists who oppose the workers' revolution in Iran. Supporting Israel is equivalent to supporting fascism and criminality, just as supporting Islamic fascism in Iran aligns one with the tyranny of Hamas and is equivalent to supporting criminality.

Among the most contemptible creatures I've encountered are the Kurdish nationalists, staunch defenders of the occupying and fascist regime of Israel. Once, during the reign of the Soviet Union, this Kurd nationalism espoused their program of liberation from national exploitation and oppression through anti-imperialist struggle; these tribal ethnic Kurdish parties from across Kurdistan used to pledge allegiance to Stalin, adhered to a socialist charter and program, and sought a "non-capitalist path to development. With the collapse of the Soviet Union, this Kurdish nationalism which always remained conservative and non-revolutionary and playing by the rules of realpolitik, abruptly transformed into a mercenary force and unconditionally serving the Western imperialism and the new post-Soviet realpolitik.

The essence of Kurdish nationalism mirrors that of post-Soviet regimes in Eastern Europe and Ukraine: reactionary and conservative to the core. From within Kurdish nationalist currents emerged opportunistic and bootlicking factions, vehemently pro-Western imperialism, anti-communist, right-wing and authoritarian, representing an oligarchic, pharaonic bourgeoisie. These currents not only bore no connection to the issue of national liberation for the Kurd people from racism, national, and class exploitation but instead, as proponents of global terrorism,

became pawns in the hands of colonial and occupying regimes in the region and on the international level.

It's no coincidence that with the rise of the post-Soviet unipolar world, these parties have shifted their allegiance from Moscow to Washington, defending reactionary regimes like Turkey, Saudi Arabia, Israel, and sometimes Iran. The support of some Kurdish nationalist forces for the occupying regime of Israel or the fascist regime of Iran, Turkey, Saudi Arabia, and U.S.A underscores the reactionary and anti-liberationist outlook of their current, which has kept the Kurd issue like a festering wound, using self-victimization to mask its counterrevolutionary nature. No nation shares a fate more like the Kurds in the Middle East than the Palestinians. The defense by Kurdish nationalist parties of the Zionist and Islamic fascists in Tel Aviv, Tehran, or Ankara is akin to a calf defending a butcher.

Anyone who advocates peace within a capitalist system is either a fool who lacks basic understanding or a manipulative charlatan offering promises they cannot fulfill. True peace, as Kant argued, cannot exist within a constant cycle of conflict. Military capitalism thrives on perpetual war, only taking strategic breaks it cynically labels as "peace." The only path to authentic peace is through revolutionary force, eliminating those who defend the decaying status quo and the false pacifists who perpetuate it.

In a society where reality is warped, peace becomes war, and war becomes peace. Embrace class war and shatter the illusion of capitalist peace! There can be no peace between labor and capital, occupier and occupied, executioner and victim. We wage a constant, ever-evolving war against you – the executioners, the occupiers, the capitalists, a war that goes on in different forms.

There should be no doubt that one of the main reasons for the strengthening of the fascist extreme right in Israel is the Islamic fascism of Hamas and the assassination of Palestinian leftist and communist activists, the pushing back of the leftist nationalism of Fatah and the defeat of the PLO by the Islamist barbarians affiliated with the Muslim Brotherhood and mercenaries of the current Iran regime, i.e. Hamas. When we talk about the unconditional rights of the Palestinians to form a completely independent socialist and democratic government, we don't see it necessary to attack the Islamic fascism of Hamas five hundred times; some might expect us to do so lest "God forbid" the audience would think that we have either forgotten or justifying Hamas crimes against our communist comrades and against the racist Israeli occupiers. No, not at all!

Hamas is a fascist current similar to the Islamic fascist regime in Iran; a regime that has massacred thousands of our communist comrades; we have a blood feud with this fascist regime. We communists do not have the slightest compromise with any repressive force. What is happening in Israel is not just the far-right and fascist Zionist war with Hamas in an isolated place, it is rather a fascist genocide; it is similar to Barbarossa Operation through which Hitler's Nazism attacked the Soviet Union on June 22, 1941, sieging of Leningrad and the closing of all roads to the Soviet people. Personally speaking, if the fascist regime of Israel and Hamas eat each other outside the

city, I personally would be extremely happy with the destruction of these two germs, just as I would be happy with the slaughter of the Islamic fascist thugs and thugs of Iran at the hands of ISIS and vice versa.

I see no difference between ISIS, the Islamic Republic, the Taliban and this fascist and occupying European regime and the governments that have sanctioned the defenseless people of Palestine. The same people who stand by Israel today, their ancestors either burned the Jews directly in Dachau and Buchenwald [Nazi concentration camps] or handed them over to the Hitler regime. Therefore, when from one hand you claim to be defending "human rights" but from the other hand support the genocide of the Palestinian by the Israeli fascist occupation regime, this is nothing but a big and deceitful lie.

Instead of avoiding and taking responsibility for the Holocaust consequences and shifting the blame and burden onto the people of Palestine, anyone who defends this executioner and child-killing regime of Israel can give a province of their own country to these armed to the hilt barbaric fascists. What is happening to the Palestinian people since the Nakba in the past seven decades is nothing but an attempt to repair the crimes of Holocaust in which western capitalist governments had a hand in with Hitler for Jewish genocide. Anyone who defends the right of the state of Israel to exist should give his country to these racist human-eating barbarians armed with weapons of mass destruction, instead of risking the lives and property of the defenseless Palestinian people.

The existence of Hamas is more necessary for Zionist fascism its daily bread. Hamas is exactly the symptom of a fascist and anti-rational reaction to a systematic fascism. Hamas needs the children killed in this war, in order to buy credit for itself by showing the videos of such massacre on Al Jazeera TV, a TV station close to the Muslim Brotherhood. Hamas will never have the ability to fight a regime armed to the teeth where every citizen is a soldier, and in this war, there is only one loser, and that is the Palestinian civilian population.

What the Israeli fascist regime is doing to the Palestinian people is a pure definition of fascism; that is, the collective punishment of a nation for the criminality of an organization that has played a major role in strengthening and stabilizing this fascism in the first place. Those whose humanitarian feelings blossom because of the killing and stripping of a woman by sexist Islamic fascists, if they are not Nazis and fascists, they should feel the same when they see stripping and killings of Palestinians by Israeli sexist fascists who urinate on the corpses of Palestinians.

Someone who defends Israel is no different to me than someone who defends Nazism. Those who, for any reason (whether they are Kurdish nationalists or Iranians and Aryans living in Europe), find justification for defending the fascist and occupying Israeli regime, the regime that plays a major role in maintaining and protecting a microbe called Hamas, can join the fascist and child-killing Israeli army or the intelligence agencies of the western regimes who defend Israel whatsoever.

We have been declaring for years that there is no such thing as the "Islamic Left" and the "Axis of Resistance" and what exists is nothing but the fascist outgrowth of Islamic fascism, but you, the fascist queerfronts, support this ultra-right fascist occupation of Israel who is killing children and turning the Gaza Strip into a hell for the defenseless Palestinian people; so you are not only not leftist, you are more fascist than the most fascist.

In many western countries, the citizen is viewed as the property of the government, and in the state-oriented anti-rational thinking of most citizens of European societies, the government is viewed as a god father. This thinking goes back to ancient Rome, who considered slaves to be the property of the ruling slave owners. Unlike you reactionary and conservative statesmen who love the state and patriarchy, we believe that the citizen and the state are blood enemies. We communists adhere to the conflict of interest between the state and the citizen and fight for its radical solution.

We have been on alert for war with the Islamic fascist regime of Iran for decades, and associating a communist like me with the Islamic fascist regime of Iran is as criminal and fascist as calling Palestinian children as supporters of Hamas or calling all Muslims terrorists and ISIS.

Eurocentric thinking lacks space for critical and revolutionary logic. Instead, it adheres to a reified mindset, expecting the world to conform to a state-oriented and state-loving perspective—specifically, that of the Western bourgeois state. Those who deviate from this norm are deemed deserving of violence, even death. This irrationality extends to far-right European parties like the Alternative for Germany and the so-called 'anti-fascist' movement Antideutsch, both of which defend the bombing of defenseless people in Palestine. Furthermore, Western bourgeois governments show no qualms about the genocide in Gaza, associating any effort to defend Palestinian freedom with support for Hamas.

Among typical European citizens, particularly Germans, there exists a prevailing tendency to perceive reason solely within the context of an authoritarian government. Whether one aligns with the Nazi and fascist parties or associates with left-wing pro-Zionist pseudo-anarchist networks and circles, the focus remains on the state apparatus. In this mindset, individuals prioritize the government's interests over those of intelligence and security personnel. Consequently, they become mere implementers of their government's ideology—essentially, ideological slaves. Within such a perspective, we don't see any distinction between the positions held by figures like Schultz, the Nazis of the Alternative for Germany, and the sects within the Queerfront Antideutsch when addressing the Palestinian issue.

The Frankfurt School and the Zionist "Left"

Frankfurters were all defenders of Israel without exception. During the six-day war between Israel and Palestine, Adorno and Horkheimer openly defended Zionist fascism, while Marcuse and Bloch shamefully sided with the occupying Israel with their populist statements and associating Jews themselves. None of them were ashamed that such positions are associated with "God forbid" defense of imperialism. Losordo explained this in his book Western Marxism.

The origin of the Zionist "Left" movement and the Queerfront is the Frankfurt School. Moishe Postone, one of the Canadian thinkers affiliated with the Frankfurt school, called fascism a socialism that associated the Jew with money, and called the destruction of the Jews in the Holocaust the same as the destruction of surplus value according to Marx. He was one of the most fascist Zionists who defended the occupying and fascist Zionist regime until the end of his life, and until now the Zionist left calls him as their spiritual father.

It seems that Adorno's "reconciliation under pressure" and his "negative dialectic" were actually the theory of reconciliation of the reactionary imperialism with Zionist fascism during the decline of the communist movement in post-fascist Germany, and until now the left-wing neo-fascists close to Israel defend these anti-revolutionary theories. In Der Monat magazine, which was sponsored by the CIA, Adorno condemned Lukács work, the author of The Decay of Reason, as itself a "decay of reason". [In 1951, he published a scathing review of Georg Lukács's book History and Class Consciousness in the West German magazine Der Monat. Adorno's review, titled "The Destruction of Reason," was a harsh critique of Lukács's work, which he accused of being "a philosophy of the catastrophe"]. The fact is that the entire Frankfurt School was founded from the beginning to the end on a continuous corruption of reason and an anti-communist conspiracy.

The Question of Palestine and American fascist Republicans defending Zionism

The opening of the American embassy in Jerusalem during the Trump era and the announcement of Jerusalem as the capital of Israel was in the context of the "JCPOA" issue and Trump's unilateral termination of the JCPOA agreement, which has caused a drastic decrease in the value of Iran's currency and the Islamic republic's refusal to give up. Islamic Republic of Iran has made the situation of the region more critical due to its imperialistic expansionism in the region and its attempt to export war to various countries in the Middle East in order to find a political and military position and base.

The occupation and fascist Israeli government's campaign against the people of the Gaza Strip on the 14th of May and the killing of dozens of civilians is an attempt to show its fascist force to the freedom-loving and resistant Palestinian people, as well as an imperialist and fascist attempt to continue the occupying policies.

The forces affiliated with the bourgeois opposition of Iran, who declare Trump as the savior of the Iranian people and have smelled the blood and gunpowder of war, are trying to gain power through the warmongering policies of the United States. These opposition affiliates are not only happy with the opening of the embassy of the world's largest terrorist state, the United States, in Jerusalem but also waiting for the American and Israeli governments to wage an imperialist war against the Iranian people and not the Iranian government.

There is no doubt that Trump and the American government are trying to limit Iran's influence in the region with these measures, but there should be no illusion that the option of war against the Iranian people has been removed from the table of global imperialist politicians and especially the American terrorist government.

Netanyahu's threatening speech about the Islamic Republic of Iran and the Mossad's access to the information sources of the Iranian regime clearly show that the Islamic Republic is under pressure in every possible way to adapt to the policies of Western imperialism and not to overstep its limits. If the Islamic Republic continues its expansionism and wants to liberate the occupied areas under the influence of Israel with the Quds Force and its terrorist force, the conditions of war readiness will turn into a big regional war.

Considering that the Islamic Republic violates human rights every day and silences his political opponents with the noose, this system does not have a place and a base at the international level among the governments of Western Europe and the members of the European Union, nor do the people of Europe have the slightest inclination towards this system, nor does the United Nations defend this Iran regime. When the international standing of the regime is in question, popular struggles against the war cannot take place on a large scale, and this is detrimental to the Iranian people in every way.

"Human rights" or the rights of bellicose capitalists?

Western imperialist governments' defense of the "human rights" industry is as serious as the defense of the "rights" of the Palestinian people by fascists like Khamenei and Erdogan and should be taken seriously. Fascism and liberalism have the same logic, they are of the same essence and differ only in form, liberalism is the father of fascism. Islamic, European, Turkish, or Zionist fascism are all defenders of the barbarism of capital for the benefit of a few parasitic and exploitative capitalists, and they only fight each other over hegemony.

When the terrorist wars of the West are promoted and supported under the name of "humanitarian" intervention and the attack of Israel and the killing of children by the Western countries under the name of "defensive war" and legitimate defense, it becomes clear that their human rights standards do not apply to the Middle East. These same governments called the attack of Putin and the right-wing Russian regime on the right-wing Ukrainian regime a violation of "human rights" and compared it to the crimes of the Nazis. Fascist spokesmen declare that we stand with Israel and have given and continue to give the ultra-right and fascist Zionist regime the most modern equipment to slaughter the people of Gaza.

Wherever there is talk of "human rights", you should know that there is a plan to slaughter a part of humanity. The abuse of the child-killing fascist Erdogan regime and the Islamic fascist regime of Iran should not be a reason to justify the fascist criminality of Zionist fascism and child-killing terrorist occupiers.

Hamas is a fascist and reactionary reaction to a 70-year-old fascism. Hamas is a product of Zionist fascism and colonial occupation. Hamas and Zionist fascism are a yoke around the neck of the

Palestinian people; they have turned the defenseless people of Gaza into laboratory rats for testing the most modern weapons of weapons factories.

The Palestinian people must eradicate Hamas and Zionist fascism and the Islamic fascism of Iran and Turkey from Palestine once and for all. This requires an internationalist struggle and the formation of a revolutionary and violent struggle led by communist movements, and it is not possible within the framework of real politics, something that unfortunately is in the form of a beautiful wish.

The Solution to The Palestinian Issue Cannot Be A Bourgeois Solution

If we want to solve this problem in a bourgeois way, we should stay in line with Bruno Bauer that the Palestinian people should question their Arab and Palestinian identity and become Israeli Zionists as Jews. The problem is that Judaism is transmitted only through the mother, and if one's mother was not Jewish, one cannot become a Jew!

Jewish fascism strictly controls women who are inseminated artificially, by nuns working in Jerusalem hospitals, lest the wrong egg from a non-Jew enter the womb of a Jewish woman; therefore, even if the Palestinian people accept the bourgeois assimilation policy, Zionist fascism and Jewish reaction will not allow the people to become Jews.

Another solution, such as two states or one state, are within the framework of bourgeois realpolitik, and the formation of a progressive and radical secular government with progressive and anti-religious laws will not be accepted by Israel and Western imperialism, nor by the Islamic Republic of Iran as a supporter of Hamas, so this policy also is not a practical answer within Middle Eastern Imperialist Realpolitik.

The only solution to the Palestinian issue is the socialist solution. The Palestinian people should not trust the hypocrisy and deceit of the bourgeois governments and the "morals" of the leaders of these governments. The only allies of the Palestinian people are the radical and progressive working masses at the regional and global levels, and especially the Israeli working class.

It's intriguing that various political entities in Germany, including far-right parties, the left-wing party, law enforcement, the military, media outlets from both ends of the spectrum, and mainstream radio and television, all express support for Israel during its conflict with Palestine. This support persists even when evidence emerges of Israel's use of phosphorus gas and other controversial tactics in its war. All of a sudden, these individuals have effectively become Zionists, leading to the perception that the dominant political force in Germany today is the 'Israel Party'.

In the past, during the absolute rule of the Catholic Church, anyone who dared to question the existence of God or the Church's authority risked being beheaded as a heretic. Similarly, during the persecution of 'witches' and 'demon-burners,' those who challenged the authority of religious figures aligned with the Church faced execution by burning. In a grim parallel, during Hitler's fascist regime, anyone who questioned the genocidal laws targeting Jews was met with a similar fate—burned alive by the Nazis in places like Dachau and Auschwitz. Ironically, today, if

someone questions the 'right' of the Israeli state to kill Palestinians and occupy their land, they encounter brutal pressure and repression from seemingly democratic governments. These governments, however, often represent the interests of capital and imperialism more than true democracy.

The German government, which defended the fascists and Nazis affiliated to the monarchy in the name of "defending" the "revolution" [uprising] of "women, life, freedom" [Zan, Zendagi, Azadi], had in fact, the aim to prescribe a version of a puppet and mercenary regime by supporting regime change and empowering the Iranian far-right oppositions who were supportive of Zionism and Israeli fascism. The issue of Palestine is a wound that resonates with the quest for freedom across humanity. Until the eradication of capitalism and the revolutionary trial of all criminals involved in the occupation of this country by Zionist fascists, and those who defended these actions affiliated to the right-wing Islamic opposition, this issue will remain unresolved.

From my perspective, I don't believe the Palestinian issue will find resolution within the confines of realpolitik. I view Hamas as a counter-revolutionary and fascist movement, a product of realpolitik. Additionally, I don't discern a clear revolutionary path in Israel, where a majority of the population holds racist, Zionist, and anti-Arab sentiments. Demonstrations against Netanyahu, even when waving the Israeli flag, lack a revolutionary or progressive outlook. Instead, I propose that Israelis should carry the Palestinian flag and join Palestinians in armed urban uprisings, challenging the fascist and Zionist rulers. By building political power from the grassroots, rooted in internationalist class solidarity, meaningful change can occur.

The Israeli working class should reject the fascist and imperialist policies of the Netanyahu and Trump governments. They should take action by occupying factories and streets. Communists and revolutionary forces worldwide must actively and publicly support the Palestinian people's struggle for liberation. It's essential not to use reactionary forces like Hamas, which acts as a mercenary for the Islamic Republic in Palestine and Gaza, as an excuse. The Gaza Strip, often described as the largest open-air prison globally, should instead become a confinement for Israeli Zionists, fascists, and their allies.

Regrettably, there exist individuals who identify as "leftists" but align themselves with the reformist and Kantian perspectives of the "Frankfurt School." These individuals have replaced thinkers like Adorno and Moshe Postone with Marx and Engels. Paradoxically, they directly or indirectly endorse the fascist and warlike policies of the Israeli occupation regime. These groups, self-proclaimed as leftists, wield significant influence within the anti-fascist movement and even German universities. However, disturbingly, they have allegedly been co-opted by intelligence agencies, including the Mossad, for espionage purposes. Their mission: to globally promote Zionism while undermining leftist and Marxism. In Germany, these elements are derogatorily referred to as "Antideutsch" or [Anti-German].

In Iran's 'left,' there are parties and movements that defend and support Zionist fascism. Similar dynamics exist among groups like the German anti-Deutschs and American evangelical Christians

who align with the Tea Party. These entities stand by Zionism and uphold imperialist realpolitik. Critiquing Zionism as a neo-fascist ideology—one that has transformed people's religious identity into ethnicity and nationality—is a responsibility for anyone concerned with human liberation. Opposing Israel's fascist regime should not mirror the tactics of Hamas or the Islamic Republic, which can be equally oppressive. Instead, a revolutionary and communist approach is necessary to restore Palestinian rights and grant them the autonomy to shape their own destiny.